



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,554	12/06/2001	Farshid Sabet-Sharghi	SNDK.315US1	2639
66785 7590 04/30/2007 PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ, LLP - SANDISK CORPORATION 595 MARKET STREET SUITE 1900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			EXAMINER GELAGAY, SHEWAYE	
			ART UNIT 2137	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/30/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

72

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/006,554	SABET-SHARGHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shewaye Gelagay	2137	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shewaye Gelagay. (3) _____

(2) Peter Mikhail. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 4, 7 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hirota and Tagawa.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed how Hirota does not teach calculating a media unique key for every cycle of decrypting a group of frames. Examiner pointed out that Hirota still reads on it when a track contains a single cycle of decrypting a group of frames. Applicant will amend the claims to show specifically how much content is decrypted for each cycle of decryption.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required